

10 1/2

Interview Summary

Application No.
09/325,603

Applicant(s)
Svensden et al.

Examiner
Elizabeth Slobodyansky

Group Art Unit
1652



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Elizabeth Slobodyansky

(3) _____

(2) Dr. Reza Green (attorney)

(4) _____

Date of Interview Jul 17, 2000

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☐ was reached. ☒ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: all of record

Identification of prior art discussed:

MacGregor

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Possible amendments to the claims have been discussed. They could include recitation of the specific structure-function relations that are predictable only based on the crystallographic data obtained by Applicants and are unpredictable based on the teachings of MacGregor. The examiner has been informed that Applicants will resubmit the application as CPA.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

E. Slobodyansky
ELIZABETH SLOBODYANSKY
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 1652

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.